arXiv:1607.06279v1 [math.FA] 21 Jul 2016

ESTIMATING THE INDEX OF SUMMABILITY OF PAIRS OF BANACH SPACES

M. MAIA AND J. SANTOS

Abstract. Let $E_1, ..., E_m, F$ be Banach spaces. The index of summability of $(E_1 \times \cdots \times E_m, F)$ is a kind of measure of how far the m-linear operators $T : E_1 \times \cdots \times E_m \to F$ are from being multiple summing. In this paper we estimate the index of summability of several pairs of Banach spaces.

1. Introduction and preliminary results

For $1 \leq q \leq p < \infty$ and Banach spaces $E_1, ..., E_m, F$ over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, let us denote E_j^* the topological dual of E_j and $B_{E_j^*}$ the closed unit ball of E_j^*. We recall that a continuous multilinear operator $T : E_1 \times \cdots \times E_m \to F$ is multiple (p, q)-summing (see [13, 16]) if there is a constant $C \geq 0$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{k_1,...,k_m=1}^{n} \left\| T\left(x_{k_1}^{(1)}, ..., x_{k_m}^{(m)}\right) \right\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\sup_{\varphi_i \in B_{E_i^*}} \sum_{k_i=1}^{n} \left| \varphi_i\left(x_{k_i}^{(i)}\right) \right|^q \right)^{1/q} \tag{1}$$

for all positive integers n and all $x_k^{(i)} \in E_i$, with $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$. The vector space of all multiple (p, q)-summing operators is denoted by $\Pi_{(p,q)}^{mult}(E_1, \ldots, E_m; F)$. The infimum $\pi_{(p,q)}^{mult}(T)$, taken over all possible constants C satisfying (1), defines a complete norm in $\Pi_{(p,q)}^{mult}(E_1, \ldots, E_m; F)$. When $E_1 = \cdots = E_m = E$, we write $\Pi_{(p,q)}^{mult}({}^mE; F)$. For details on the theory of multilinear operators on Banach spaces we refer to [9, 15] and for more details on multiple summing operators we refer to [18, 19] and references therein.

If an m-linear operator $T : E_1 \times \cdots \times E_m \to F$ does not satisfy (1) it makes sense to try to have a measure of how far T is from satisfying (1); in this line, in [12] the notion of index of summability is considered.

Definition 1.1. The *multilinear m-index of* (p, q)*-summability* of a pair $(E_1 \times \cdots \times E_m, F)$ is defined as

$$\eta_{(p,q)}^{m-mult}(E_1, ..., E_m; F) = \inf s_{m,p,q},$$

where $s_{m,p,q} \geq 0$ satisfies the following:

Key words and phrases. Multilinear operators, Banach spaces.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47B10.

Mariana Maia is supported by Capes and Joedson Santos is supported by CNPq.

There is a constant $C \geq 0$ (not depending on n) such that

$$\left(\sum_{k_1,...,k_m=1}^{n}\left\|T\left(x_{k_1}^{(1)},...,x_{k_m}^{(m)}\right)\right\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq Cn^{s_{m,p,q}}\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(\sup_{\varphi_i\in B_{E_i^*}}\sum_{k_i=1}^{n}\left|\varphi_i\left(x_{k_i}^{(i)}\right)\right|^q\right)^{1/q}$$

for every $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1,...,E_m;F)$, all positive integers n and $x_{k_i}^{(i)} \in E_i$, with $1 \leq k_i \leq n$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$.

When $E_1 = \cdots = E_m = E$, we write $\eta_{(p,q)}^{m-mult}\left({}^mE;F\right)$ instead of $\eta_{(p,q)}^{m-mult}\left(E,...,E;F\right)$.

In some sense this index measures how distant are the spaces $\Pi_{(p,q)}^{mult}\left(E_1,\ldots,E_m;F\right)$ and the space of continuous m-linear operators from $E_1 \times \cdots \times E_m$ to F, denoted by $\mathcal{L}\left(E_1,\ldots,E_m;F\right)$. When both spaces coincide we have

$$\eta_{(p,q)}^{m-mult}\left(E_1,...,E_m;F\right) = 0.$$

For polynomials the situation is similar. Let $\mathcal{P}({}^mE;F)$ denote the Banach space of all continuous m-homogeneous polynomials from E into F. We recall that given $1 \leq p,q < \infty$, with $p \geq \frac{q}{m}$, a polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^mE;F)$ is *absolutely (p,q)-summing* if there is a constant $C \geq 0$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\|P(x_k)\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C\left(\sup_{\varphi_i\in B_{E^*}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|\varphi_i\left(x_k\right)\right|^q\right)^{m/q} \tag{2}$$

for all positive integers n and all $x_k \in E$, with $1 \leq k \leq n$. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_{(p,q)}\left({}^mE;F\right)$ the Banach space of all absolutely (p,q)-summing polynomials from E to F. We refer the interested reader to [2, 5, 20] for more recent results and further details.

Definition 1.2. The *polynomial m-index of (p,q)-summability* of a pair of Banach spaces (E,F) is defined as

$$\eta_{(p,q)}^{m-pol}\left(E,F\right) = \inf s_{m,p,q},$$

where $s_{m,p,q} \geq 0$ satisfies the following:

There is a constant $C \geq 0$ (not depending on n) such that

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\|P(x_j)\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq Cn^{s_{m,p,q}}\left(\sup_{\varphi_i\in B_{E^*}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|\varphi_i\left(x_k\right)\right|^q\right)^{m/q}$$

for every $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^mE;F)$, all positive integers n and all $x_j \in E$, with $1 \leq j \leq n$.

For the sake of simplicity, given $x_1,...,x_n \in E$ we shall, as usual, denote

$$\|(x_k)_{k=1}^n\|_{w,p} := \sup_{\varphi\in B_{E^*}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}|\varphi(x_k)|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

From now on, for $p \in [1,+\infty]$, p^* denotes the conjugate of p, i.e., $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^*}=1$. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, let us set $X_p := \ell_p$ and let us define $X_\infty = c_0$.

Every result of the form

$$\mathcal{L}\left(E_1,...,E_m;F\right) = \Pi_{t,s}^{mult}\left(E_1,...,E_m;F\right)$$

is called coincidence situation (see, for instance, [6, 7]). It is not difficult to show how coincidence situations can be used to estimate the index of summability.

Proposition 1.3. *Let $E_1, ..., E_m, F$ be Banach spaces. Suppose that*

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, ..., E_m; F) = \Pi_{t,s}^{mult}(E_1, ..., E_m; F).$$

Then

(a) *For all p, q satisfying $0 < p \leq t$ and $0 < s \leq q$, we have*

$$\eta_{(p,q)}^{m-mult}(E_1, ..., E_m; F) \leq \frac{m}{p} - \frac{m}{t} + \frac{m}{s} - \frac{m}{q}.$$

(b) *For all p, q satisfying $0 < p \leq t$ and $0 < q \leq s$, we have*

$$\eta_{(p,q)}^{m-mult}(E_1, ..., E_m; F) \leq \frac{m}{p} - \frac{m}{t}.$$

(c) *For all p, q satisfying $0 < t \leq p$ and $0 < s \leq q$, we have*

$$\eta_{(p,q)}^{m-mult}(E_1, ..., E_m; F) \leq \frac{m}{s} - \frac{m}{q}.$$

(d) *For all p, q satisfying $0 < t \leq p$ and $0 < q \leq s$, we have*

$$\eta_{(p,q)}^{m-mult}(E_1, ..., E_m; F) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, ..., E_m; F)$.

(a) If $p \leq t$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\sum_{k_1,...,k_m=1}^{n} \|T(x_{k_1}^{(1)}, ..., x_{k_m}^{(m)})\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq \left(\sum_{k_1,...,k_m=1}^{n} \|T(x_{k_1}^{(1)}, ..., x_{k_m}^{(m)})\|^t\right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \left(\sum_{k_1,...,k_m=1}^{n} |1|^{\frac{pt}{t-p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{t}} \\ &\leq C \prod_{i=1}^{m} \|(x_{k_i}^{(i)})_{k_i=1}^{n}\|_{w,s} \left(n^m\right)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{t}} \\ &\leq C n^{\frac{m}{p}-\frac{m}{t}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \|(x_{k_i}^{(i)})_{k_i=1}^{n}\|_{w,s}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $s \leq q$, using the Hölder inequality for $i = 1, ..., m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|(x_{k_i}^{(i)})_{k_i=1}^{n}\|_{w,s} &= \sup_{\varphi \in B_{E_i^*}} \left(\sum_{k_i=1}^{n} |\varphi(x_{k_i}^{(i)})|^s\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &\leq \sup_{\varphi \in B_{E_i^*}} \left[\left(\sum_{k_i=1}^{n} |\varphi(x_{k_i}^{(i)})|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{k_i=1}^{n} |1|^{\frac{qs}{q-s}}\right)^{\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{q}}\right] \\ &\leq n^{\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{q}} \|(x_{k_i}^{(i)})_{k_i=1}^{n}\|_{w,q}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\left(\sum_{k_1,...,k_m=1}^{n} \|T(x_{k_1}^{(1)}, ..., x_{k_m}^{(m)})\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C n^{\frac{m}{p}-\frac{m}{t}+\frac{m}{s}-\frac{m}{q}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \|(x_{k_i}^{(i)})_{k_i=1}^{n}\|_{w,q}.$$

(b) If $p \leq t$ and $q \leq s$, we just need to use the canonical inclusion between the ℓ_q^w spaces to obtain

$$\left(\sum_{k_1,...,k_m=1}^{n} \|T(x_{k_1}^{(1)},...,x_{k_m}^{(m)})\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq Cn^{\frac{m}{p}-\frac{m}{t}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \|(x_{k_i}^{(i)})_{k_i=1}^{n}\|_{w,q}.$$

The cases (c) and (d) are similar. □

In the context of polynomials the above result is translated as:

Proposition 1.4. *Let E, F be Banach spaces and*

$$\mathcal{P}\left({}^{m}E;F\right) = \mathcal{P}_{t,s}\left({}^{m}E;F\right).$$

Then

(a) *For all p, q satisfying $0 < p \leq t$ and $0 < s \leq q$, we have*

$$\eta_{(p,q)}^{m-pol}(E;F) \leq \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{t} + \frac{m}{s} - \frac{m}{q}.$$

(b) *For all p, q satisfying $0 < p \leq t$ and $0 < q \leq s$, we have*

$$\eta_{(p,q)}^{m-pol}(E;F) \leq \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{t}.$$

(c) *For all p, q satisfying $0 < t \leq p$ and $0 < s \leq q$, we have*

$$\eta_{(p,q)}^{m-pol}(E;F) \leq \frac{m}{s} - \frac{m}{q}.$$

(d) *For all p, q satisfying $0 < t \leq p$ and $0 < q \leq s$, we have*

$$\eta_{(p,q)}^{m-pol}(E;F) = 0.$$

2. Some upper estimates for the index of summability

The following lemma is quite useful in the theory of summing operators (see [17, Corollary 3.20] or [8]).

Lemma 2.1. *Let $p_1, ..., p_m \in [1, \infty]$. Then*

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, ..., E_m; F) = \Pi_{(t,p_1^*,...,p_m^*)}^{mult}(E_1, ..., E_m; F)$$

for all Banach spaces $E_1, ..., E_m$ if and only if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{k_1,...,k_m=1}^{n} \|T(e_{k_1},...,e_{k_m})\|^t\right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \leq C\|T\|$$

for every m-linear form $T : \ell_{p_1}^n \times \cdots \times \ell_{p_m}^n \to F$.

We recall that for $2 \leq q \leq \infty$, a Banach space E has cotype q if there is a constant $C \geq 0$ such that no matter how we select finitely many vectors $x_1, ..., x_n$ from E,

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \|x_k\|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left(\int_0^1 \left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k(t) x_k\right\|^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where r_k denotes the k-th Rademacher function, that is, given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in [0,1]$, we have $r_k(t) = \operatorname{sign}\left[\sin\left(2^k \pi t\right)\right]$. When $q = \infty$, the left hand side will be replaced by the sup norm. Henceforth we will denote $\inf\{q : E \text{ has cotype } q\}$ by $\operatorname{cot}(E)$.

We shall need the following coincidence theorem that can be essentially found in [2, 4] to obtain estimates of the indices of summabilitity along this paper.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $E_1, ..., E_m, F$ be infinite-dimensional Banach spaces and suppose that F has finite cotype $\operatorname{cot}(F) = r$.*

(a) If $s \in [1,2)$ and $m < \frac{s}{r(s-1)}$, then

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, ..., E_m; F) = \Pi_{t,s}^{mult}(E_1, ..., E_m; F) \Leftrightarrow t \geq \frac{sr}{s - msr + mr}.$$

(b) If $t \in \left[\frac{2m}{m+1}, 2\right]$, then

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, ..., E_m; \mathbb{K}) = \Pi_{t,s}^{mult}(E_1, ..., E_m; \mathbb{K}) \Leftrightarrow s \leq \frac{2mt}{mt + 2m - t}.$$

(c) If $t \in (2, \infty)$, then

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, ..., E_m; \mathbb{K}) = \Pi_{t,s}^{mult}(E_1, ..., E_m; \mathbb{K}) \Leftrightarrow s \leq \frac{mt}{mt + 1 - t}.$$

Proof. In [2, Theorem 1.5] it was proved that if $p_1, ..., p_m \in [2, \infty]$, and F is infinite-dimensional with finite cotype $\operatorname{cot}(F) := r$, with $\frac{1}{p_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_m} < \frac{1}{r}$, then there is a constant $C_{p_1,...,p_m} \geq 1$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{k_1,...,k_m=1}^{\infty} \|A(e_{k_1}^{(1)}, ..., e_{k_m}^{(m)})\|^t\right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \leq C_{p_1,...,p_m} \|A\| \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{t} \leq \frac{1}{r} - \left(\frac{1}{p_1} + ... + \frac{1}{p_m}\right)$$

for every continuous m-linear operator $A : X_{p_1} \times \cdots \times X_{p_m} \to F$ (see also [8]).

By Lemma 2.1, with $p_i = s^*$, for all i, this result is translated to the language of multiple summing operators and we prove (a).

The proofs of (b) and (c) can be found in [4, Theorem 3.2]. □

An immediate corollary of Theorem 2.2(a) and Proposition 1.3 is the following:

Corollary 2.3. *Let $E_1, ..., E_m, F$ be infinite-dimensional Banach spaces. If F has finite cotype $\operatorname{cot}(F) = r < \infty$, $1 \leq s < 2$, $m < \frac{s}{r(s-1)}$ and $t = \frac{sr}{s-msr+mr}$, then*

(a) *For all p, q satisfying $0 < p \leq t$ and $\frac{mrt}{r-t+mrt} \leq q$, we have*

$$\eta_{(p,q)}^{m-mult}(E_1, ..., E_m; F) \leq \frac{m}{p} + m - \frac{1}{r} - \frac{m}{q} - \frac{(m-1)}{t}.$$

(b) *For all* p, q *satisfying* $0 < p \leq t$ *and* $0 < q \leq \frac{mrt}{r-t+mrt}$, *we have*

$$\eta_{(p,q)}^{m-mult}(E_1, ..., E_m; F) \leq \frac{m}{p} - \frac{m}{t}.$$

(c) *For all* p, q *satisfying* $0 < t \leq p$ *and* $\frac{mrt}{r-t+mrt} \leq q$, *we have*

$$\eta_{(p,q)}^{m-mult}(E_1, ..., E_m; F) \leq m - \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{t} - \frac{m}{q}.$$

(d) *For all* p, q *satisfying* $0 < t \leq p$ *and* $0 < q \leq \frac{mrt}{r-t+mrt}$, *we have*

$$\eta_{(p,q)}^{m-mult}(E_1, ..., E_m; F) = 0.$$

3. Optimal estimates for the index of summability

We begin this section by recalling a general version of the Kahane–Salem–Zygmund inequality:

Lemma 3.1. *(See Albuquerque et al.* [1, Lemma 6.1]*) Let* $m, n \geq 1$, *let* $p \in [1, \infty]$, *and let*

$$\alpha(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}, & \text{if } p \geq 2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

There is an universal constant C_m *(depending only on* m*) and there exists an* $m-$*linear form* $A : \ell_p^n \times \cdots \times \ell_p^n \to \mathbb{K}$ *of the form*

$$A(z^{(1)}, ..., z^{(m)}) = \sum_{i_1,...,i_m=1}^{n} \pm z_{i_1}^{(1)} \cdots z_{i_m}^{(m)}$$

such that

$$\|A\| \leq C_m n^{\frac{1}{2}+m\cdot\alpha(p)}.$$

Now we can obtain optimal indices of summability for certain pairs of Banach spaces.

Proposition 3.2. *Let* p, q *be real numbers.*

(a) If $\frac{2m}{m+1} \leq p \leq 2$ *and* $\frac{2mp}{mp+2m-p} \leq q \leq 2$, *then*

$$\eta_{(p,q)}^{m-mult}({}^m\ell_{q^*}; \mathbb{K}) = \frac{m}{p} + \frac{m}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{m}{q}.$$

(b) If $2 < p < \infty$ *and* $\frac{mp}{mp+1-p} \leq q$, *then*

$$\eta_{(p,q)}^{m-mult}({}^m\ell_{q^*}; \mathbb{K}) = m - 1 + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{m}{q}.$$

(c) If $0 < p < \infty$ *and* $1 \leq q \leq 2$, *then*

$$\eta_{(p,q)}^{m-mult}({}^m\ell_{q^*}; c_0) = \frac{m}{p}.$$

Proof. (a) Note that we can obtain an upper estimate using Theorem 2.2 (b) and the first item of Proposition 1.3. In fact, Theorem 2.2 tells us that

$$\mathcal{L}\left(E_1,...,E_m;\mathbb{K}\right)=\Pi^{mult}_{t,\frac{2mt}{mt+2m-t}}\left(E_1,...,E_m;\mathbb{K}\right)$$

and using the first item of Proposition 1.3, with $t=p$, we obtain

$$\eta^{m-mult}_{(p,q)}\left(E_1,...,E_m;\mathbb{K}\right)\leq\frac{m}{p}+\frac{m}{2}-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{m}{q}.$$

Now let us show that this estimate is optimal for $E_i=\ell_{q^*}$. By Lemma 3.1, given $q^*\geq 2$ (thus $q\leq 2$) there is an operator $A:\ell^n_{q^*}\times\cdots\times\ell^n_{q^*}\to\mathbb{K}$ given by

$$A(z^{(1)},...,z^{(m)})=\sum_{i_1,...,i_m=1}^{n}\pm z^{(1)}_{i_1}\cdots z^{(m)}_{i_m}$$

such that

$$\|A\|\leq C_m n^{\frac{1}{2}+m\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q^*}\right)}.$$

Suppose that

$$\left(\sum_{k_1,...,k_m=1}^{n}\|A(e^{(1)}_{k_1},...,e^{(m)}_{k_m})\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\leq Cn^s\|A\|.$$

Then

$$n^{\frac{m}{p}}\leq Cn^s n^{\frac{1}{2}+m\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q^*}\right)}$$

and since n is arbitrary,

$$\frac{m}{p}-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{m}{2}+\frac{m}{q^*}\leq s.$$

Thus

$$\eta^{m-mult}_{(p,q)}\left({}^m\ell_{q^*};\mathbb{K}\right)\geq\frac{m}{p}-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{m}{2}+\frac{m}{q^*}=\frac{m}{p}-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{m}{2}-\frac{m}{q}$$

and this proves (a).

(b) Using item (c) of Theorem 2.2 and the first item of Proposition 1.3, we have

$$\mathcal{L}\left(E_1,...,E_m;\mathbb{K}\right)=\Pi^{mult}_{t,\frac{mt}{mt+1-t}}\left(E_1,...,E_m;\mathbb{K}\right)$$

and considering $t=p$ we obtain

$$\eta^{m-mult}_{(p,q)}\left(E_1,...,E_m;\mathbb{K}\right)\leq m+\frac{1}{p}-1-\frac{m}{q}.$$

Let us show that the estimate is sharp for $E_i=\ell_{q^*}$. Consider $S:\ell^n_{q^*}\times\cdots\times\ell^n_{q^*}\to\mathbb{K}$ given by $S(x^{(1)},...,x^{(m)})=\sum_{i=1}^{n}x^{(1)}_i\cdots x^{(m)}_i$ and note that $\|S\|\leq n^{1-\frac{m}{q^*}}$. If

$$\left(\sum_{k_1,...,k_m=1}^{n}\|S(e^{(1)}_{k_1},...,e^{(m)}_{k_m})\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\leq Cn^s\|S\|,$$

then

$$n^{\frac{1}{p}}\leq Cn^s n^{1-\frac{m}{q^*}}.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{p}-1+\frac{m}{q^*}\leq s$$

and

$$\eta_{(p,q)}^{m-mult}\left({}^m\ell_{q^*};\mathbb{K}\right)\geq\frac{1}{p}-1+\frac{m}{q^*}=\frac{1}{p}+m-1-\frac{m}{q}.$$

(c) Let t be a positive real number such that for each $T\in\mathcal{L}({}^m\ell_{q^*};c_0)$ there is a constant $C\geq 0$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{k_1,...,k_m=1}^{n}\left\|T\left(x_{k_1}^{(1)},...,x_{k_m}^{(m)}\right)\right\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\leq Cn^t\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left\|\left(x_{k_i}^{(i)}\right)_{k_i=1}^{n}\right\|_{w,q} \tag{3}$$

for all positive integers n and all $x_{k_i}^{(i)}\in\ell_{q^*}$, with $1\leq k_i\leq n$.

Now, let $T\in\mathcal{L}({}^m\ell_{q^*};c_0)$ be defined by

$$T\left(x^{(1)},...,x^{(m)}\right)=\left(x_{j_1}^{(1)}\cdots x_{j_m}^{(m)}\right)_{j_1,...,j_m=1}^{n}.$$

Of course $\|T\|=1$ and

$$\left(\sum_{j_1,...,j_m=1}^{n}\|T(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=n^{\frac{m}{p}}.$$

We have $\left\|(e_{j_i})_{j_i=1}^{n}\right\|_{w,q}=1$, the latter condition together with (3) imply

$$n^{\frac{m}{p}}\leq Cn^t$$

and thus $t\geq\frac{m}{p}$. The reverse inequality follows by [12, Proposition 2.6 and 2.7]. □

To prove our final results we recall two coincidence theorems. The first one is a result credited to Defant and Voigt (see [3]), and asserts that for any Banach space E we have

$$\mathcal{P}\left({}^mE;\mathbb{K}\right)=\mathcal{P}_{1,1}\left({}^mE;\mathbb{K}\right). \tag{4}$$

The second one is a result due to Botelho [5] asserting that for any Banach space E,F, if $\cot(E)=mr$ or $cot(F)=r$, then

$$\mathcal{P}\left({}^mE;F\right)=\mathcal{P}_{r,1}\left({}^mE;F\right). \tag{5}$$

We also need to recall the main results of [12]:

Theorem 3.3. *(See Maia, Pellegrino, Santos* [12]*) Let E,F be infinite dimensional Banach spaces and $r:=\cot(F)$. Then*

(a) *For $1\leq q\leq 2$ and $0<p\leq\frac{rq}{mr+q}$, we have*

$$\frac{m}{2}\leq\eta_{(p,q)}^{m-pol}\left(E;F\right).$$

(b) *For* $1 \leq q \leq 2$ *and* $\frac{rq}{mr+q} \leq p \leq \frac{2r}{mr+2}$, *we have*

$$\frac{mp+2}{2p} - \frac{mr+q}{rq} \leq \eta_{(p,q)}^{m-pol}(E;F).$$

(c) *For* $2 \leq q < \infty$ *and* $0 < p \leq \frac{2r}{mr+2}$, *we have*

$$\frac{m}{2} \leq \eta_{(p,q)}^{m-pol}(E;F).$$

(d) *For* $2 \leq q < \infty$ *and* $\frac{2r}{mr+2} < p < r$, *we have*

$$\frac{r-p}{pr} \leq \eta_{(p,q)}^{m-pol}(E;F).$$

Note that there is a range, $1 \leq q \leq 2$ and $\frac{2r}{mr+2} < p < r$, where the previous theorem doesn't provide any information, as illustrated below.



FIGURE 1. Region encompassed by (a) and (b) of Theorem 3.3



FIGURE 2. Region encompassed by (c) and (d) of Theorem 3.3

The next theorem shows that it is possible to consider q varying in a larger interval than the interval considered in (d).

Theorem 3.4. *Let* E, F *be infinite dimensional Banach spaces and* $r := \cot(F)$.

For $1 \leq q < \infty$ and $\frac{2r}{mr+2} < p < r$, we have

$$\frac{r-p}{pr} \leq \eta_{(p,q)}^{m-pol}(E;F).$$

Proof. Suppose that

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|P_n(x_j)\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq Dn^t \|P_n\| \left\|(x_j)_{j=1}^n\right\|_{w,q}^m,$$

for all $x_1, ..., x_n \in E$.

Then, using the argument from the proof of [12], we have

$$\frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|id_X(x_j)\|^{mp\left(\frac{r}{p}\right)^*}\right)^{\frac{1}{mp\left(\frac{r}{p}\right)^*}}}{\left\|(x_j)_{j=1}^n\right\|_{w,q}} \leq n^{\frac{t}{m}} Q^{\frac{1}{m}}, \tag{6}$$

for any n-dimensional subspace X of E and for all nonzero $x_1, ..., x_n \in X$.

Since $q \geq 1$, we have

$$\frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|id_X(x_j)\|^{mp\left(\frac{r}{p}\right)^*}\right)^{\frac{1}{mp\left(\frac{r}{p}\right)^*}}}{\left\|(x_j)_{j=1}^n\right\|_{w,1}} \leq n^{\frac{t}{m}} Q^{\frac{1}{m}}.$$

for all $x_1, ..., x_n \in X$. Then

$$\pi^{(n)}_{mp\left(\frac{r}{p}\right)^*,1}(id_X) \leq n^{\frac{t}{m}} Q^{\frac{1}{m}}.$$

Since $\frac{2r}{mr+2} < p$ we have $mp\left(\frac{r}{p}\right)^* > 2$, and from Theorem [10, Corollary 2] there is a constant c, depending on $mp\left(\frac{r}{p}\right)^*$, such that

$$\frac{1}{c}\pi_{mp\left(\frac{r}{p}\right)^*,1}(id_X) \leq n^{\frac{t}{m}} Q^{\frac{1}{m}}. \tag{7}$$

By [14, Proposition 3], the $\left(mp\left(\frac{r}{p}\right)^*, 1\right)$- and $\left(mp\left(\frac{r}{p}\right)^*, 2\right)$-absolutely summing norms are equivalent, then there exists a constant k such that

$$\pi_{mp\left(\frac{r}{p}\right)^*,2}(id_X) \leq k\pi_{mp\left(\frac{r}{p}\right)^*,1}(id_X).$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{ck}\pi_{mp\left(\frac{r}{p}\right)^*,2}(id_X) \leq n^{\frac{t}{m}} Q^{\frac{1}{m}}.$$

By [11, Corollary 2(a)], there is a constant $A > 0$ such that

$$A \cdot n^{\frac{1}{mp\left(\frac{r}{p}\right)^*}} \leq \pi_{mp\left(\frac{r}{p}\right)^*,2}(id_X),$$

and thus

$$\frac{A}{ck} n^{\frac{r-p}{mpr}} \leq n^{\frac{t}{m}} Q^{\frac{1}{m}}.$$

Finally, we obtain

$$t \geq \frac{r-p}{pr},$$

and

$$\eta_{(p,q)}^{m-pol}(E;F) \geq \frac{r-p}{pr}.$$

□

We thus have the following corollary:

Corollary 3.5. *Let E, F be infinite dimensional Banach spaces and suppose that F has cotype $\cot(F) := r < \infty$. Then, for all $\frac{2r}{mr+2} < p < r$,*

$$\eta_{(p,1)}^{m-pol}(E;F) = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}.$$

Proof. To obtain the upper bound we use (a) of Proposition 1.4 and (5). □

A similar result for $F = \mathbb{R}$ and m even was proved in the same paper.

The proof of the next result is similar to the proof of the previous theorem, and we have the following extension of [12, Theorem 4.1]:

Theorem 3.6. *Let m be an even positive integer and E be an infinite dimensional real Banach space. If $1 \leq q < \infty$ and $\frac{2}{m+2} < p < 1$, then*

$$\frac{1-p}{p} \leq \eta_{(p,q)}^{m\text{-}pol}(E;\mathbb{R}).$$

Combining the above theorem and (4) we get the following corollary:

Corollary 3.7. *Let E be a Banach space and m be an even integer. Then, for $\frac{2}{m+2} < p < 1$,*

$$\eta_{(p,1)}^{m-pol}(E;\mathbb{R}) = \frac{1}{p} - 1.$$

References

[1] N. Albuquerque, F. Bayart, D. Pellegrino, J.B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Sharp generalizations of the multilinear Bohnenblust–Hille inequality*, J. Funct. Anal., **266** (2014), 3726–3740.

[2] N. Albuquerque, F. Bayart, D. Pellegrino, J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Optimal Hardy–Littlewood type inequalities for polynomials and multilinear operators*, Israel Journal of Mathematics, **211** (2016), 197–220.

[3] R. Alencar, M.C. Matos, *Some classes of multilinear mappings between Banach spaces*, Pub. Dep. An. Mat. Univ. Complut. Madrid, Section 1, Number 12, 1989.

[4] G. Araújo, D. Pellegrino, *Optimal estimates for summing multilinear operators*, to appear in Linear and Multilinear Algebra.

[5] G. Botelho, *Cotype and absolutely summing multilinear mappings and homogeneous polynomials*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A, **97** (1997), 145–153.

[6] G. Botelho, H.A. Braunss, H. Junek, D. Pellegrino, *Inclusions and coincidences for multiple summing multilinear mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **137** (2009), 991–1000.

[7] G. Botelho, C. Michels, D. Pellegrino, *Complex interpolation and summability properties of multilinear operators*, Rev. Mat. Complut., **23** (2010), 139–161.

[8] V. Dimant and P. Sevilla–Peris, Summation of coefficients of polynomials on ℓ_p spaces, Publ. Mat. **60** (2016), 289–310.

[9] S. Dineen, Complex analysis on infinite-dimensional spaces. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 1999.

[10] H. König, *Some estimates for type and cotype constants*; Séminaire d'analyse fonctionnelle (Polytechnique), **28** (1979-1980), 1–13.

[11] H. König, J. R. Retherford, N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, *On the eigenvalues of* $(p, 2)-$*summing operators and constants associated with normed spaces*, Journal of Functional Analysis, **37** (1980), 88–126.

[12] M. Maia, D. Pellegrino, J. Santos, *An index of summability for pairs of Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **441** (2016), 702–722.

[13] M.C. Matos, *Fully absolutely summing mappings and Hilbert Schmidt operators*, Collect. Math., **54** (2003), 111–136.

[14] B. Maurey, *Une nouvelle caractérisation des applications* $(p, q) -$ *sommantes*; Séminaire d'analyse fonctionnelle (Polytechnique), **12** (1973-1974), 1–16.

[15] J. Mujica, Complex analysis in Banach spaces. Holomorphic functions and domains of holomorphy in finite and infinite dimensions. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 120. Notas de Matemática [Mathematical Notes], 107. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1986.

[16] D. Pérez-García, *Operadores multilineales absolutamente sumantes*, Thesis, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 2003.

[17] D. Pérez-García, I. Villanueva, *Multiple summing operators on* $C(\mathcal{K})$ *spaces*, Ark. Mat., **42** (1) (2004), 153–171.

[18] D. Popa, *Reverse inclusions for multiple summing operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **350** (2009), 360–368.

[19] D. Popa, *Multiple summing operators on* l_p *spaces*, Studia Math, **225** (2014), 9–28.

[20] P. Rueda, E. A. Sánchez-Pérez, *Factorization of* $p-$*dominated polynomials through* L_p-*spaces*, Michigan Math. J., **63** (2014), no. 2, 345–354.

Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, 58.051-900 - João Pessoa, Brazil.
E-mail address: mariana.britomaia@gmail.com

Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, 58.051-900 - João Pessoa, Brazil.
E-mail address: joedsonmat@gmail.com